

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 OCTAVIO BERNAL-CARRILLO,) Criminal No. 3:11-cr-03888 AJB
13)
14 Plaintiff,) [Related Civil No. 3:12-cv-01155 AJB]
15 v.)
16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) **ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S**
17) **MOTION TO VACATE UNDER 28**
18) **U.S.C. § 2255**
19 Defendants.)
20) [Doc. No. 25]
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 Octavio Bernal-Carrillo ("Petitioner") moves this Court to reduce his time in federal custody
28 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, arguing that the 1995 United States Attorney General Memorandum
offers up to two levels downward departure from the applicable guideline sentencing range in return for
an alien's concession of deportability and agreement to accept a final order of deportation, and that
based on his alien status, he cannot be housed in a minimum security facility or community corrections
center, which should be taken in to consideration, and a downward departure granted. For the following
reasons, the Court DENIES the motion.

Discussion

On September 20, 2011, pursuant to a written plea agreement, Petitioner pled guilty to an
Information charging a violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326 (a) and (b). *See* Plea Agreement, Doc. No. 13.

1 This Court sentenced Petitioner on January 9, 2012, to thirty months imprisonment. *See* Judgment, Doc.
2 No. 24.

3 Title 28 of the United States Code, Section 2255 provides that if a petitioner's motion, file, and
4 records "conclusively show that the movant is entitled to no relief" the Court summarily may dismiss the
5 motion without sending it to the United States Attorney for response. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2255(b). The
6 rules regarding Section 2255 proceedings similarly state that the Court summarily may order dismissal
7 of a 2255 motion without service upon the United States Attorney only "[i]f it plainly appears from the
8 face of the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is
9 not entitled to relief . . .". Rule 4(a), Rules-section 2255 Proceedings (West 2009). Thus, when a
10 movant fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or when the motion is incredible or
11 patently frivolous, the district court may summarily dismiss the motion. *Cf. United States v. Burrows*,
12 872 F.2d 915, 917 (9th Cir. 1989); *Marrow v. United States*, 772 F.2d 525, 526 (9th Cir. 1985).

13 A defendant may waive his right to file a § 2255 motion to challenge his sentence, but such a
14 waiver must state so expressly. *United States v. Nunez*, 223 F.3d 956, 959 (9th Cir. 2000). However, a
15 defendant may not waive an ineffective assistance of counsel claim challenging the knowing and
16 voluntary nature of the plea agreement or the voluntariness of the waiver itself. *United States v.*
17 *Rahman*, 642 F.3d 1257, 1259 (9th Cir. 2011); *United States v. Jeronimo*, 398 F.3d 1149, 1156 n. 4 (9th
18 Cir. 2005). Petitioner's plea agreement states in part:

19 In exchange for the Government's concessions in this plea agreement, defendant waives, to
20 the full extent of the law, any right to appeal or to collaterally attack the conviction and
21 sentence, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance
of counsel, unless the Court imposes a custodial sentence above the high end of the guideline
range recommended by the Government pursuant to this agreement at the time of sentencing.

22 (3:11-cr-03888-AJB, Doc. No. 13 at 9-10.) The Ninth Circuit approves of such waivers on public
23 policy grounds, reasoning that finality is "perhaps the most important benefit of plea bargaining."
24 *United States v. Navarro-Botello*, 912 F.2d 318, 322 (9th Cir. 1990). Courts will generally enforce a
25 defendant's waiver of his right to appeal if: (1) "the language of the waiver encompasses the defendant's
26 right to appeal on the grounds claimed on appeal," and (2) "the waiver is knowingly and voluntarily
27 made." *United States v. Martinez*, 143 F.3d 1266, 1270-71 (9th Cir. 1998). The Court concludes that
28

1 both of these requirements are met in this case. Accordingly, Petitioner's motion is barred and must be
2 dismissed because of his plea agreement waiver.

3 Even if Petitioner had not waived his right to attack his conviction and sentence, Petitioner's
4 motion would fail on the merits. Petitioner lacks any support for his arguments that the Court should
5 make a further departure because (1) the United States Attorney did not offer up to two points down-
6 ward departure for accepting a final order of deportation and (2) he is a deportable alien and not eligible
7 for housing in a minimum security prison or community corrections placement.

8 What the United States Attorney chooses to offer as part of any plea agreement is within the
9 province of the United States Attorney. The Court is prohibited from participating in the plea bargain-
10 ing process. Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1).

11 At sentencing, and by statute, the Court may depart downward only if there are "aggravating or
12 mitigating circumstances . . . not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission."
13 In sentencing Petitioner, this Court considered all of the potential departures available in determining
14 the
15 advisory guidelines applicable in this case. The Ninth Circuit has held that the threat of deportation is
16 not a factor that the district court may consider for sentencing purposes. *United States v. Alvarez-*
17 *Cardenas*, 902 F.2d 734, 737 (9th Cir. 1990).

18 In addition, under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(b) and by law, the Court can depart outside the Advisory
19 Guideline System for reasons set forth in the factors of and policy reasons behind the Federal Sentenc-
20 ing Statute, 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). All relevant factors were taken in to consideration at the time of Mr.
21 Bernal-Carrillo's sentence, including his pending alien status, pending deportation and placement, and
22 other options regarding his custodial sentence.

23 Conclusion

24 Based on the foregoing reasons, the Court DENIES Petitioner's Motion to Vacate Under 28
25 U.S.C. § 2255 [Doc. No. 25].

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: May 22, 2012

28 
Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia

U.S. District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28